United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/961,192	09/21/2001	Douglas B. Adams	BLD920010009US1	8223	
23550 HOEEMAN W	7590 08/10/2007 /ARNICK & D'ALESSAND	PO LLC	EXA	. EXAMINER	
75 STATE STREET 14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207		INDICO, EDC	SHEIKH,	SHEIKH, ASFAND M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	12207	:	3627		
		!	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/961,192	ADAMS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Asfand M. Sheikh	3627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 May 2007</u> .					
, —	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	4) Claim(s) 1,8,12,13 and 22 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	T.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,8,12,13 and 22</u> is/are rejected.	•				
, —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	T.				
,—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applic	ation No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		1				
Attachmen	t(s)					
· <u></u>	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
3) Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 30-May-2007 has been entered.

Acknowledgments

The examiner notes claims 1, 8, 12, 13, and 22 are pending for examination. The examiner further notes claims 1, 8, 12, 13, and 22 have been amended and the rest of the claims have been cancelled. The examiner establishes new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,192

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 8, 12, 13, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barbosa et al. in view of Horton, Morris et al., Edgar, Morrow et al., Bridgelall, and Park et al.

Barbosa et al. teaches a single hand-held portable computing device (see at least, col. 5, lines 35-51); a wireless interface adapted for connecting the portable computing device to a network via a wireless access protocol (see at least, col. 5, lines col. 5, lines 35-51 and col. 6, lines 28-39); software installed on the portable computing device (see at least, col. 7, lines 42-45); wherein the software includes a data entry module adapted to record information (see at least, col. 7, lines, 47-48 and col. 8, lines 4-10); wherein the software includes a work order management module adapted to manage work orders (see at least, col. 10, lines 32-67); a billing module adapted to generate billing information (see at least, col. 10, lines 20-22); a global positioning system attached to the portable device (see at least, col. 6, lines 40-57); and asset

tracking software, installed on the portable computing device (see at least, col. 6, lines 40-57), updating data via infrared communication means (see at least, col. 6, lines 27-30) and wherein the asset tracking software includes a mapping module adapted to provide a real-time map based on a GPS location of the tool (see at least, col. 6, lines 40-57).

Barbosa et al. is silent with respect to knowledge based software, a time tracking module adapted to track user time, an electronic signature module adapted to receive electronic signature on the portable computing device, and messaging software, asset tracking software, installed on the portable computing device, for receiving an asset GPS location from the asset via the wireless interface, for directing the service technician to the asset, via the wireless interface, wherein the asset tracking software includes travel time calculating module adapted to calculate the travel time from the first location to a second location.

Horton et al. teaches knowledge-based software installed on the portable computing device (see at least abstract, col. 3, lines 40-49, col. 5, lines 60-67 and col. 6, lines 1-3).

The examiner takes the position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Barbosa et al. to

Application/Control Number: 09/961,192

Art Unit: 3627

include knowledge base software installed on the portable computing device as taught by Horton et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to analyze multiple sources of information and derive a sound strategy that will allow the user to assess the assets situation (see at least col. 3, lines 20-49).

Morris et al. teaches that software that includes a time tracking module adapted to track user time (Morris et al, see at least, col. 6, lines 11-22).

The examiner takes the position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Barbosa et al. to include software that includes a time tracking module adapted to track user time as taught by Morris et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to improve tracking service work (see at least, col. 2, lines 3-6) teaches that software that includes a time tracking module adapted to track user time (see at least, col. 6, lines 11-22).

Edgar teaches receiving electronic signatures on the portable computing device (Edgar et al., see at least, abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/961,192

Art Unit: 3627

The examiner takes the position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Barbosa et al. to include receiving electronic signatures on the portable computing device as taught by Edgar. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to verify the release information regarding the asset (see at least, col. 6, lines 28-45).

Morrow et al. teaches software that includes instant messaging software (Morrow et al, see at least, 0037 and 0041).

The examiner takes the position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Barbosa et al. to include software that includes instant messaging software as taught by Morrow et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to be able to reach user of the portable computing device in a quick and efficient manner.

Bridgelall teaches asset tracking software, installed on the portable computing device, for receiving an asset GPS location from the asset via the wireless interface, for directing the individual to the asset, via the wireless interface (see at least, col. 6, lines 4-3)

The examiner takes the position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Barbosa et al. to include travel calculating module adapted to calculate travel time from a first location to second location as taught by Bridgelall. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to track the location of the asset with a greater degree of resolution (see at least, col. 1, 59-60).

Park et al. teaches a travel calculating module adapted to calculate travel time from a first location to second location (see at least, col. 2, lines 27-43 and col. 5, lines 11-22).

The examiner takes the position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Barbosa et al. to include travel calculating modulea dapted to calculate travel time from a first location to second location as taught by Park et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order accurately compute an average time and speed taken for traveling from a start point to an end point (see at least, col. 5, lines 56-61).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8, 12, 13, and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,192 Page 9

Art Unit: 3627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asfand M. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1466. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8a-4:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on (571) 272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,192 Page 10

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Asfand M Sheikh

Examiner

Art Unit 362

ams 6-Aug-2007

> ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER